INITIAL REPORT

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM MONITOR

Julianne D'Angelo Fellmeth

MBC Enforcement Program Monitor Administrative Director/Supervising Attorney Center for Public Interest Law University of San Diego School of Law

Thomas A. Papageorge

Principal Consultant
Head Deputy District Attorney, Consumer Protection Division
Los Angeles District Attorney's Office

November 1, 2004

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		<u>Page</u>
	Acknowledgments	iii
	Executive Summary	. ES-1
I.	Overview of the Medical Board of California	1
II.	Statutory Mandate of the MBC Enforcement Monitor	7
III.	Scope and Method of Initial Inquiry and Initial Report	11
IV.	The Evolution of MBC's Enforcement Program	17
V.	MBC's Enforcement Program: General Description and Threshold Concerns	55
VI.	Complaint Receipt and Screening: Central Complaint Unit	75
VII.	Field Investigations: District Offices	121
VIII.	Expert Reviewer Program	155
IX.	Prosecutions: Health Quality Enforcement Section	163
Χ.	Hearings: Medical Quality Hearing Panel	179
XI.	Decisions: Division of Medical Quality	185
XII.	Judicial Review of DMQ Decisions	199
XIII.	Public Disclosure	205

XIV.	Public Education and Outreach
XV.	MBC's Diversion Program
XVI.	Issues for Final Report
XVII.	Conclusion 293
APPEND	OICES

Acknowledgments

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Medical Board Enforcement Monitor gratefully acknowledges the support, assistance, and cooperation of many individuals and entities who contributed input, ideas, and suggestions to this Initial Report. Although the views expressed in this report are those of the Monitor and her colleagues only, we thank the many who have contributed so generously to this project.

First, the Enforcement Monitor position would not exist but for the efforts of Senator Liz Figueroa and the 2002 Joint Legislative Sunset Review Committee. We deeply appreciate Senator Figueroa's longtime and dedicated service as Chair of both the Senate Business and Professions Committee and the JLSRC. We thank Senate Business and Professions Committee Chief Consultant Bill Gage, Joint Committee Chief Consultant Ed Howard, and Joint Committee Consultant David Link for their valuable input and assistance.

The Monitor is also grateful to former Department of Consumer Affairs Director Kathleen Hamilton and former Deputy Director Kristy Wiese for their role in selecting and guiding the Monitor in 2003, and to Department of Consumer Affairs Director Charlene Zettel and Deputy Director Kristin Triepke for their support throughout the past year.

In researching and writing this report, the Monitor was greeted with full and complete cooperation from management and staff across the state at both the Medical Board of California and the Health Quality Enforcement Section of the Attorney General's Office. At the Medical Board, we are especially thankful to Executive Director Dave Thornton, Deputy Executive Director Joyce Hadnot, and Enforcement Chief Joan Jerzak for their long careers in public service and for their candor and receptiveness toward this project. We similarly acknowledge Senior Assistant Attorney General Carlos Ramirez and the many fine attorneys at the Health Quality Enforcement Section, and thank them for spending their time and sharing their experience with the Monitor. Special thanks go to Medical Board employees who went above and beyond the call of duty: former Diversion Program Administrator Laura Choate, who gave generously of her time, expertise, and patience; and to several managers and staff who painstakingly ensured that we received all the data we requested in a timely and professional manner — Nancy Smith, Arlene Krysinski, Elberta Portman, Susan Cady, and especially Kimberly Kirchmeyer, manager of the Medical Board's Discipline Coordination Unit.

We thank several external stakeholders who have a great interest in the proper functioning of the Medical Board's enforcement program for spending time and sharing insights with us, including representatives of the California Medical Association, the defense bar, local prosecutors, consumer and patient groups, alternative medicine advocates, and members of the Liaison Committee to the Medical Board's Diversion Program.

We are grateful to University of San Diego School of Law Dean Daniel B. Rodriguez and Los Angeles County District Attorney Steve Cooley for permitting us to undertake this important project along with the demands of our permanent posts.

We thank the members of the Medical Board for their support and patience throughout the past year. We look forward to discussing the many issues raised in this report and to implementing them to improve and enhance the public protection afforded by MBC's enforcement program.

Although this report is written primarily by us, it is based upon the invaluable research and other work conducted by other members of the Monitor's team. Ben Frank, Director of the NewPoint Group in Sacramento, performed all data-gathering and analysis and the extensive and insightful business process analysis of the Diversion Program. Ben's experience and skills as a management consultant are unmatched, and our reliance on him in this and prior enforcement monitor projects is well-placed. We thank Collette Galvez, staff counsel at the Center for Public Interest Law, for her excellent legal research and assistance. Elisa Weichel of the Children's Advocacy Institute took our raw work, performed her usual magic, and turned it into something fit to print on paper and online. And we are grateful to Professor Robert C. Fellmeth — Price Professor in Public Interest Law at the University of San Diego School of Law, former State Bar Discipline Monitor, and primary author of *Physician Discipline in California: A Code Blue Emergency* — for his extraordinary contributions to the fields of administrative law, public interest advocacy, and professional discipline.

Finally, on a personal note, the Monitor extends her deepest thanks to Sol and Helen Price, who have generously endowed the Center for Public Interest Law at the University of San Diego School of Law. Their vision was to train future lawyers in the skills necessary to be effective public interest advocates — with a focus on the regulatory agencies of the state. Their suggestion of this forum — as both a little-examined branch of government and as a valuable setting for law student education — has guided our work for the last 25 years.

Julianne D'Angelo Fellmeth Thomas A. Papageorge